JL-- The excisions from the Warren letter are consistent with my experiences on the past: DJ is so used to getting away with Raw Power the lawyers haven't even learned the minimum techniques of crookedness. I'd ask for an unexpurgated copy, saying that because this letterm says exactly the opposite of what they represented to Gessell and because they deliberatlely refused to provide it when they could have with ease, we feel we are entitled to know, even if this is internal, because we feel we are entitled to know who had knowledge of this policy statement and withheld or misrepresented it. That it was part of the document itself eliminates the possibility of invocation of the internal exemption. I'd make a real tough but polite issue of it. If they refuse I'd consider either holding for a suit or xxx my sending to Gesell with a copy of the book. ~~HW~~ 9/16/74 I have marked the copy and will go over what I see in it with you. But it is 100% our way and there is no provision for anything the Commission classified, whether or not correctly, to be withheld. Only the external agency of origin. This mean that the Archives had the responsibility, if not sooner, as soon as I made the request. It also had this policy statement from Warren or knew of it and could get it and other controlling policy statements all against what it did.